



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,177	02/09/2004	Gabi Elgressy	1543/6	6756
7590	10/08/2008		EXAMINER	
Gabi Elgressy Menachem Begin 6 Kiryat Ono,, ISRAEL			PHASGE, ARUN S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/773,177	ELGRESSY, GABI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Arun S. Phasge	1795	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Arun S. Phasge. (3) ____.

(2) Gabi Elgressy. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 06 October 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: all of record.

Identification of prior art discussed: all of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: argued that the Elliott patent is non-analogous art and not combinable with the other references. The Examiner stated that art from other fields can reasonably be combinable when the art is directed to the problem which applicant is addressing (in this case the scale on a surface cleaned by wipers). Therefore, the examiner asserted that the instant claims still read upon the combination of references.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Arun S. Phasge/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795	
---	--